

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 03/28/2006

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/051,274	01/22/2002	Sunil Kunisetty	50277-2895	50277-2895 7586	
42425 7	590 03/28/2006		EXAMINER		
HICKMAN PALERMO TRUONG & BECKER/ORACLE 2055 GATEWAY PLACE			РНАМ, СН	PHAM, CHRYSTINE	
SUITE 550			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
SAN JOSE, C	A 95110-1089		2192		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summer	10/051,274	KUNISETTY ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Chrystine Pham	2192			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 De	ecember 2005.				
· —	, -				
closed in accordance with the practice under E	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims					
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1,2,5,6,9-12 and 15-22 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1,2,5,6,9-12 and 15-22 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 11).	epted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). lected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:				

Application/Control Number: 10/051,274 Page 2

Art Unit: 2192

DETAILED ACTION

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on December 20th 2005 has been entered.

2. This action is responsive to Amendment filed on December 20th 2005. Claims 1-2, 5-6, and 11 have been amended. Claims 3-4, 7-8, 13-14 have been canceled. Claims 15-22 are new claims. Claims 1-2, 5-6, 9-12, 15-22 are pending.

Response to Arguments

- Applicant's arguments with respect to newly added limitations "sharing markup text from a page among a plurality of users", and "analyzing the page to extract markup text, wherein the page includes at least the markup text and a set of code instructions to be executed as an application" recited in independent claims 1 and 15 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.
- 4. Applicant's arguments filed December 20th 2005 with respect to Friesen's anticipation (as established in the final Office Action and the advisory action) of "loading the class"

containing the pre-initialized static variable into a share, read-only memory is accessible to said plurality of users" have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

As has been established in the final Office Action and the Advisory Action, the static variables in col.3:28-30 refer to static variables for a shopping cart discussed in the same paragraph. It is further submitted that the "shopping cart" frame (i.e., ShopFrame) is only an object or an instance of a class implementing the "shopping cart" object (see at least class app, class ShopFrame, class ShopPanel col.15:15-col.16:61). Thus, modifying values for static variables in a shopping cart frame or instance (e.g., user deselects an item, adds a new item, or changes quantity of a selected item) has no effect on the class containing the defined static variables and does not equate to modifying the class containing the defined static variables. In col.9:48-col.10:46, Friesen discloses a database or cache "update.txt" containing an itemPrice for its corresponding itemID. Friesen explicitly states that this cache is defined as a static String variable (i.e., an array of characters) in a class implementing the shopping cart object. In col. 19:35-50, Friesen explicitly discloses Constants.class (which implements the shopping cart object) containing said static String variable. It is inherent that the static String is preinitialized to "update.txt" in said class. It is further inherent and essential to Friesen's invention that said class is loaded into a shared, read-only memory. Since if the class is not in the shared, read-only memory, itemPrice can be freely modified for any corresponding itemID. Furthermore, Friesen's invention is directed persisting a shopping cart object from one web page to another. It is inherent that classes (containing static variables) implementing the shopping cart object are persisted in memory (i.e., readApplication/Control Number: 10/051,274 Page 4

Art Unit: 2192

only) from one web page to another (i.e., shared by web pages), thus enables Friesen to eliminate the need to download the same classes (in order to maintain the shopping cart object) every time the user accesses a different web page. Needless to say, it is obvious in Friesen that the shared, read-only memory containing the static String variable (containing all the itemIDs and their corresponding itemPrices) is accessible to different (i.e., plurality of) users/sessions (online shoppers) as well as it being accessible between web pages for each individual user's shopping-session, each user/shopper having a unique and personalized instance of the shopping cart for each unique and personalized purchase.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 1-2, 5-6, 9-12, 15-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Agrawal et al. (US 2002/0004813 A1, Agrawal) in view of Friesen (US 6636863, Friesen)

Claim 1

Agrawal teaches a computer-implemented method of sharing markup text from a page among a plurality of users in response to requests from said plurality of user (see at least request for a document, script, blocks, data source, code paragraph [0014]; HTML document, HTTP request paragraph [0015]; 612, cached blocks 614, client devices 606 FIG.6 & associated text; paragraph [0061]), said method comprising:

o Analyzing the page (see at least Web page 202 FIG.2 & associated text; Web page 202, script, blocks paragraph [0032]) to extract static markup text (see at least S51-S53 FIG.5 & associated text; portion of Web page, static, static HTML paragraph [0031]; pages, different users, page blocks, current weather, common zip code, partial page caching paragraph [0060]), wherein the page includes at least the markup text and a set of code instructions to be executed as an application (see at least request for a document, script, blocks, data source, code paragraph [0014]; HTML document, HTTP request paragraph [0015]; Web scripting, application logic, ASP, JSP paragraph [0030]; combination of code and static HTML, script paragraph [0031]);

Agrawal further teaches caching/loading said static markup text from the page into a shared, read-only memory (see at least S53-S58 FIG.5 & associated text; caching, selected number of blocks, web page paragraph [0033]; paragraph [0034]; static portion, cache memory paragraph [0066]), wherein the shared read-only memory is accessible to said plurality of users (see at least S59-S60, S53, S61-S62 FIG.5 & associated text; 612, cached blocks 614, client devices 606 FIG.6 & associated text; rest of the page, shopping cart, item description, user comments, cached in memory, other users paragraph [0061]; shared memory cache, multiple processes, machines paragraph [0053]; paragraph [0056]; paragraph [0064]).

Agrawal does not expressly disclose pre-initializing a static variable of a class to contain the markup text. However, Friesen discloses

- o pre-initializing a static variable of a class to contain the markup text (e.g., see *shopping cart*, *identities*, *prices*, *static variables* col.3:30-40; see *class ShopPanel*, *variables* col.15:10-col.16:6; see *app.class*, *Constants.class* col.17:37-52; see *Constants.class*, *Vector class*, *item ID*, *item price* col.18:40-50; see *static String variable* col.19:48-50); and
- o loading the class containing the pre-initialized static variable into a shared, read-only memory (e.g., see programs, web pages, memory, subsequent use, shopping cart, first web page col.2:67-col.3:15; see update.txt, 'itemPrice', cache col.10:11-37; see Constants.class, Vector class, item ID, item price col.18:40-50; see static String variable col.19:48-50; itemID, itemPrice, database, cache, "update.txt, static String, JAVA class col.9:48-col.10:45).

Agrawal and Friesen are analogous art because they are directed to persisting/sharing read-only information (i.e., static HTML such as itemIDs, itemPrices, or item descriptions) among a plurality of web pages within a session as well as among plurality of users (i.e., online shoppers) using JAVA programming language. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to incorporate the teaching of Friesen into that of Agrawal for the inclusion of pre-initializing a static variable of a class to contain the markup text from the page. And the motivation for doing so would have been to eliminate the need to re-generate and download updated page each time an item is selected (i.e., placed into the shopping cart to be

purchased) (see at least Friesen col.1:55-col.2:5; col.2:65-col.3:27)(see at least Agrawal paragraph [0061]).

Claim 2

The rejection of base claim 1 is incorporated. Friesen further teaches storing the markup text in a resource file associated with the application (e.g., see MICROSOFT ACCESS, update.txt col. 10:10-29; see update.txt, Constants.class col. 19:48-50).

Claim 5

Agrawal teaches a method of initializing a first instance of an application that shares a set of markup text with other instances of the application, wherein the first instance of the application is generated by compiling code from a page that contains both the code and the set of markup text (see at least S51-S53 FIG.5 & associated text; portion of Web page, static, static HTML paragraph [0031]; pages, different users, page blocks, current weather, common zip code, partial page caching paragraph [0060]; request for a document, script, blocks, data source, code paragraph [0014]; HTML document, HTTP request paragraph [0015]; Web scripting, application logic, ASP, JSP paragraph [0030]; combination of code and static HTML, script paragraph [0031]) in response to a request from one or more users (see at least request for a document, script, blocks, data source, code paragraph [0014]; HTML document, HTTP request paragraph [0015]; 612, cached blocks 614, client devices 606 FIG.6 & associated text; paragraph [0061]), said method comprising:

o executing instructions to instantiate the first instance of the application, wherein said instructions are stored on a computer-readable medium, said instructions that, when executed, cause one or more processors to perform the steps of (see at least machine-readable medium, instructions paragraph [0017]):

Page 8

- o analyzing said page to distinguish between the code and the set of markup text (see at least combination of code and static HTML, script paragraph [0031]; S51-S53 FIG.5 & associated text; portion of Web page, static, static HTML paragraph [0031]; pages, different users, page blocks, current weather, common zip code, partial page caching paragraph [0060]);
- o accessing the markup text in the shared, read-only memory when the code from the first instance of the application is executed (see at least paragraph [0031]; FIG.5 & associated text);

Agrawal further teaches caching/loading said static markup text from the page into a shared, read-only memory (see at least S53-S58 FIG.5 & associated text; caching, selected number of blocks, web page paragraph [0033]; paragraph [0034]; static portion, cache memory paragraph [0066]), wherein the shared read-only memory is accessible to said plurality of users (see at least S59-S60, S53, S61-S62 FIG.5 & associated text; 612, cached blocks 614, client devices 606 FIG.6 & associated text; rest of the page, shopping cart, item description, user comments, cached in memory, other users paragraph [0061]; shared memory cache, multiple processes, machines paragraph [0053]; paragraph [0056]; paragraph [0064]).

Agrawal does not expressly disclose pre-initializing a static variable of a class to contain the markup text. However, Friesen discloses

Application/Control Number: 10/051,274

Art Unit: 2192

o pre-initializing a static variable of a class to contain the markup text (e.g., see *shopping* cart, identities, prices, static variables col.3:30-40; see class ShopPanel, variables col.15:10-col.16:6; see app.class, Constants.class col.17:37-52; see Constants.class, Vector class, item ID, item price col.18:40-50; see static String variable col.19:48-50); and

Page 9

o loading the class containing the pre-initialized static variable into a shared, read-only memory (e.g., see programs, web pages, memory, subsequent use, shopping cart, first web page col.2:67-col.3:15; see update.txt, 'itemPrice', cache col.10:11-37; see Constants.class, Vector class, item ID, item price col.18:40-50; see static String variable col.19:48-50; itemID, itemPrice, database, cache, "update.txt, static String, JAVA class col.9:48-col.10:45).

Agrawal and Friesen are analogous art because they are directed to persisting/sharing read-only information (i.e., static HTML such as itemIDs, itemPrices, or item descriptions) among a plurality of web pages within a session as well as among plurality of users (i.e., online shoppers) using JAVA programming language. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to incorporate the teaching of Friesen into that of Agrawal for the inclusion of pre-initializing a static variable of a class to contain the markup text from the page. And the motivation for doing so would have been to eliminate the need to re-generate and download updated page each time an item is selected (i.e., placed into the shopping cart to be purchased) (see at least Friesen col.1:55-col.2:5; col.2:65-col.3:27)(see at least Agrawal paragraph [0061]).

Application/Control Number: 10/051,274

Art Unit: 2192

Claim 6

The rejection of base claim 5 is incorporated. Agrawal (as modified by Friesen) further

Page 10

teaches wherein the class is not loaded into the shared, read-only memory when the other

instances of the application are executed (see at least S61-S62 FIG.5 & associated text).

Claim 9

The rejection of base claim 1 is incorporated. Friesen further teaches wherein the markup

text includes information to be displayed to a user an annotation directing a user agent how to

render the information to be displayed to the user; and the markup output by the application

includes the annotation (e.g., see shopping cart frame 12 FIG.1 & associated text; col.5:35-

47; see col.6:60-col.7:10; see applet col.15:10-45; see frame col.16:19-20).

Claim 10

The rejection of base claim 1 is incorporated. Friesen further teaches wherein the static

variable of a class is an array of characters (see at least static String variable col. 19:48-50;

itemID, itemPrice, database, cache, "update.txt, static String, JAVA class col.9:48-

col.10:45).

Claim 11

Claim recites limitations, which have been addressed in claim 9, therefore, therefore, is

rejected for the same reasons as cited in claim 9.

Application/Control Number: 10/051,274 Page 11

Art Unit: 2192

Claim 12

Claim recites limitations, which have been addressed in claim 10, therefore, is rejected for

the same reasons as cited in claim 10.

Claims 15-22

Claims recite limitations which have been addressed in claims 2, 5, 6, 9, and 10, therefore, are rejected for the same reasons cited in claims 2, 5, 6, 9, and 10.

Conclusion

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Chrystine Pham whose telephone number is 571-212-3702. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri, 8:30am-5pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tuan Q Dam can be reached on 571-272-3695. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status f an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

TUAN DAM SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER